



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,721	06/22/2001	Tetsunori Kunimune	911-2111	6007

7590 09/05/2003

Eugene Oak
610 S. Van Ness Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90005

EXAMINER

CHIANG, JACK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2642	2

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/886721	T. Kunimune
Examiner	Group Art Unit	
J. Chiang	2642	#2

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Response

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE - 3 - MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-22-01.

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Other _____

Office Action Summary

CLAIMS

112 First Paragraph Rejection

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claim 1, it claims a button with dots which has a function (claim 2), this button further has alphabet entering. In other words, there are three types of information impeded in a single button, such as the alphabet, the numeral and the dot. There is no disclosure described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For example, in conventional phone keypad, entering alphabet might require to have a mode change which changes numeral to alphabet, or a special button having many contacts and special software and sensors in order to achieve the three different types of data entering. In this case, when button "5" is pressed, what data has been entered, the letter(s), the number "5" or the dot? And how is it being achieved?

In claims 3-5, 6-9, notice that claim 1 is already defined to have five dots, it can no longer be any other numbers. Therefore, it is a 112, first paragraph problem.

Art Rejection

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 3-9 and 11, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Topp (US D280099).

Regarding claim 1, Topp shows:

A button having five raised dots on its surface (see figs. 3-4).

Regarding claims 3-9 and 11, Topp shows:

The dots can be 2 to 8 dots or plurality of dots (see figs 2 and 4).

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Topp.

Regarding claim 10, Topp shows various number of dots with the button.

Topp differs from the claimed invention in that it does not show nine dots.

However, from the present application, it shows the numbers of dots varying from two to nine dots. In other words, there is no teaching of criticality for the numbers of dots.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to providing any numbers of dots to represent a symbol, this simply can be considered as a personal preference and would have been obvious for one skilled in the art.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Topp in view of Krishnan (US 6377685).

Regarding claim 2, Topp shows the button and the dots.

Topp differs from the claimed invention in that it does not explicitly show the “.” symbol.

However, it is commonly seen that phone keypads have various symbol, including this “.” symbol. This is taught by Krishnan (see figs. 1-3, 7).

Hence, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to incorporate this “.” symbol in Topp with/without the teaching of Krishnan, because this is a conventional feature in data entering devices, such as today's phones which have various functions, including e-mailing, accessing to internet sites etc., and all these functions might require this symbol.

Art Unit: 2642

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.



Jack Chiang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2642